Application No. Applicant(s) 10/611,863 MULLER ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Rosalynd Keys 1621 **All Participants:** Status of Application: abandoned (3) _____. (1) Rosalynd Keys. (2) Daniel R. Evans, Ph.D. (4) _____. Date of Interview: 1 December 2005 Time: 10:00 a.m. Type of Interview: ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Applicant's representative) If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: none Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: a call was made to Dr. Evans to inquire as to whether Application No. 10/611,863 was to be abandoned. Dr. Evans informed me that the application was abandoned as no response was filed. However, the Applicants have filed a continuation Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)